

Kent

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul		X				

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

General comments	
May 2011 Istanbul	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
May 2011 Istanbul	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

General comments	
May 2011 Istanbul	<i>The team was great, all the scheduled activities during the meeting were implemented. The support and cooperation was felt.</i>

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
May 2011 Istanbul	—

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
May 2011 Istanbul	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

General comments	
May 2011 Istanbul	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
May 2011 Istanbul	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

General comments	
May 2011 Istanbul	As usual, it's great!

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
May 2011 Istanbul	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
May 2011 Istanbul	λ					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	×					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	λ					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	λ					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	×					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	×					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	×					
After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	×					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

General comments	
May 2011 Istanbul	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
May 2011 Istanbul	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
May 2011 Istanbul						

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

General comments	
May 2011 Istanbul	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
May 2011 Istanbul	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
May 2011 Istanbul						

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul						

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul						

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul						

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul						

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul						

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul						

General comments	
May 2011 Istanbul	It's been nice to meet again and share our experiences in training courses in our countries. I think this is a very important moment for the project because we are transforming the theory into practice!!

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
May 2011 Istanbul	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
	X					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					
May 2011 Istanbul						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	X					

General comments	Wonderful atmosphere. Good cooperation. Excellent Management of the project.
May 2011 Istanbul	Thank you all! Special thanks to the Turkish team! And to Lone and Kent!

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
May 2011 Istanbul	

INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-M LLP-LdV-ToI-2009/DK-902

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
	✓					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					
May 2011 Istanbul						


Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					
May 2011 Istanbul						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					
May 2011 Istanbul						

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
May 2011 Istanbul	✓					

General comments	
May 2011 Istanbul	Everything was well planned! We found the chance to view what happened in other pilotage courses.

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
May 2011 Istanbul	



Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5	++	✓	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	No answer
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	++	✓	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	++	✓	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5	++	✓	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	++	✓	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	++	✓	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5	++	✓	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5	++	✓	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.

May 2011 Istanbul

May 2011 Istanbul

May 2011 Istanbul

May 2011 Istanbul

May 2011 Istanbul

May 2011 Istanbul

May 2011 Istanbul

May 2011 Istanbul

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5	++	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
	May 2011 Istanbul										

General comments	May 2011 Istanbul	Everything was well planned! We found the choice fair what happened in other pilot courses.
------------------	-------------------	--

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	May 2011 Istanbul	
--	-------------------	--

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5	++	+	3	+/-	-	2	1	--	No answer	May 2011 Istanbul	X
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5	++	+	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	++	+	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5	++	+	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5	++	+	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5	++	+	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5	++	+	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.
After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5	++	+	4	+	3	+/-	2	-	1	--	n.a.

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5	++	X	May 2011 Istanbul
	4	+		
	3	+/-		
	2	-		
	1	--		
		n.a.		

General comments	May 2011 Istanbul
<i>Very good project group - good ideas - good inputs</i>	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	May 2011 Istanbul