

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

General comments	It's better to avoid scheduling a project meeting during the conference programme.					
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	- Interface layout ; - Preferred exercise typology ; - Suggested websites from which to retrieve material or audio files, etc.					
August 2012 Gothenburg						

INTERNAL EVALUATION TOOLS 517543-LLP-2011-DK-KA2-KA2MP

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

INTERNAL EVALUATION TOOLS 517543-LLP-2011-DK-KA2-KA2MP

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

General comments	
August 2012 Gothenburg	Mix of conference and meeting to be avoided next time

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
August 2012 Gothenburg	

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

General comments <i>the meeting was very useful!</i>	
August 2012 Gothenburg	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
August 2012 Gothenburg	<i>Andre Alexandru</i>

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

General comments	
August 2012 Gothenburg	<p><i>It was a shame to pay for an expensive conference and have our own meetings overlap so much with it so we miss some interesting talks. Better to have a bit more separation - e.g start a day earlier.</i></p>

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
August 2012 Gothenburg	

INTERNAL EVALUATION TOOLS 517543-LLP-2011-DK-KA2-KA2MP

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg		X				

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg			X			

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg		X				

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

INTERNAL EVALUATION TOOLS 517543-LLP-2011-DK-KA2-KA2MP

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

General comments	Some partners did not participate enough. Sometimes partners were present but not active (more occupied by personal matters than by project matters). Not enough focus to bring matters forward.					
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms						
August 2012 Gothenburg						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

General comments	<i>The meeting should not be at the same time as the Conference ☺</i>					
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms						
August 2012 Gothenburg						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

General comments	
August 2012 Gothenburg	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
August 2012 Gothenburg	

INTERNAL EVALUATION TOOLS 517543-LLP-2011-DK-KA2-KA2MP

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg		✓				

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg		X				

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg		X				

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

General comments		Very good
August 2012 Gothenburg	<p>But conference days should not be at the same time as today's meeting days because it could be interesting to listen to some more paper presentations at EUROCALL.</p>	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms		_____
August 2012 Gothenburg	<p>Maria Joak <u>Francis</u></p>	

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

INTERNAL EVALUATION TOOLS 517543-LLP-2011-DK-KA2-KA2MP

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

General comments	
August 2012 Gothenburg	

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
August 2012 Gothenburg	

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	✓					

General comments	
August 2012 Gothenburg	<p>Having just joined the Tools Team (replacing my colleague, Neil Gomer) I have enjoyed the welcome from entire group. Great project and I'm delighted to be involved.</p>

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
August 2012 Gothenburg	None.

INTERNAL EVALUATION TOOLS 517543-LLP-2011-DK-KA2-KA2MP

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg	+					

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg		X				

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg		X				

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg	X					

General comments	I think the work is more productive when you don't					
August 2012 Gothenburg	need to divide your attention from between the conference and the meeting content.					

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
August 2012 Gothenburg	

INTERNAL EVALUATION TOOLS 517543-LLP-2011-DK-KA2-KA2MP

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	No answer
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 +	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 X	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 +/-	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?	5 +/-	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
August 2012 Gothenburg						

General comments	
August 2012 Gothenburg	<p><i>It was very interesting to be here.</i></p> <p><i>I am proud that I am member of this team!!!</i></p>

Suggestion for new items in the evaluation forms	
August 2012 Gothenburg	