C. PROJECT AIM – REVISION OF THE ACTIVITIES

Please describe precisely any modifications to the project activities that you propose in order to apply the recommendations included in the experts' evaluation report. Please note that under no circumstances the proposed modifications may alter the objectives or products / results of the project as selected by the Executive Agency.

Quality of the work programme: The quality management plan remains the same, the evaluation criteria are based on quantity as well as quality:
- Deliveries and achievements will be checked against the work plan milestones as part of all project workshops.
- Quarterly quality reports will be available from the project website reflecting activities, milestones, and achievement
- End users will be invited to give feedback after each stage in the software development
- The content quality will be assessed by the pilot and test teams as well as registered end users in a sequence of peer review assessment – improvement / programming – peer review assessment resulting in the final products at the end of the project funded period.
- The external quality evaluation will be procured according to the rules in the Financial Handbook. We will give preference to experts with a documented evaluation experience such as Catherine Tsavdaridou, Mara Garofalo, or Gareth Long, all three used to be managers or head of departments at the TAO.
- The external evaluator will have full access to all project e-mails, the website, deliveries, and will be contracted to give immediate feedback on partnership issues, delivery quality, activities and efforts to cluster with other projects for exchange of experience and joined impact on target groups.
- The external expert is responsible for giving feedback to the partnership after each quarterly report has been received and also for making recommendations that can be used for corrective actions to ensure best possible results.

Innovative character: The added innovation compared to the results of the previous two projects BP-BLTM and POOLS are
- BP-BLTM portrayed five language teaching methods, the new project will add a sixth method CLIL
- POOLS produced courses and materials to be used for computer assisted language learning, the new project will develop software to produce CLIL supporting materials

Quality of the consortium: The consortium will remain based on the described partners, but contact has already been made to Tuula Asikainen, the coordinator of the CLIL Cascade Network (CCN) - a Key Activity 2 (Languages) project with EU-funding for 2007-2010 (CCN aims to bring together all national and regional CLIL networks in Europe in order to strengthen the position of CLIL.) so we can work together with that partnership and its networks thus clustering our efforts and results. We also intend to involve the grass root POOLS partners (presently 50+) especially in order to get quality feedback from end users.

European added value: The aims and methods described to achieve added value remain the same, but efforts will be made to extend the target groups through members of Eurocall (www.eurocall-languages.org) by announcements and workshops at Eurocall conferences and papers. Several of the partners are already active members of Eurocall (Kent Andersen is the regional Eurocall Representative in Denmark and the Eurocall DK webmaster

The Cost-benefit value: The expert recommendations moved 50% of staff days in category 2 to category 3 claiming that software engineers belong to category 3. and commented on the length of two meeting. The partnership intentions are therefore that:
- The project key staff described in the application remains the same and belongs to staff category 2: (Kent Andersen, OTS and Caoimhin O Donnaile, SMO.) The extra resources required will have to be provided by the partnership thus increasing self financing.
- The kick-off meeting and final project meetings will be reduced to four days each

Relevance: The experts comment on a need to broaden the description and open the specific challenges and problems. The partners intend to:
- Develop two software solutions to the documented need of CLIL teachers, i.e. to enable personal adaptation of CLIL suitable materials.
- To solve known font problems with Cyrillic characters in software which export html documents. This is needed for exporting Greek documents (and GB + RU) that will be java script linked to online dictionaries.
- To develop a web based software where a CLIL teachers and/or students can enter a URL and select an online dictionary so that the software produces a webpage based on the URL where all words are linked to available online dictionaries.

Impact: The described short and long term indicators remain the same, efforts will be made to ensure feedback from the target groups documenting the achieved impact. this will be based on:

- Feed-back from registered end users
- Log files documenting the end users geographical origins
- Feed-back entered in newsletter registration files and membership registration files (html documents)
- Feed-back from clustering projects

Quality of Valorisation plan: The valorisation plan remains the same. EfVET is the main hub for dissemination to the LdV target groups, the number of EfVET staff days may seem low, but this is due to EfVET main tasks being: Letting us use their mailing lists, guarantee us access to post articles in the EfVET newsletters and give us access to deliver presentations during EfVET conferences. Eurocall will likewise be used for valorisation; we have already by the Eurocall president (Ana Gimeno-Sanz) been invited to write an article on the project in the Eurocall Review (formerly known as the Eurocall Newsletter). Some concrete and planned examples of conference presentations are:
- Participation and presentation in EfVET conferences in 2008 + 2009 and distribution of final materials during EfVET 2010
- Participation in Eurocall annual conferences with papers being submitted for workshops