Have the contents of matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
Has the time for work content during the mo	•	5 ++	4+	3 +/	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid		<u> </u>				
Your impression of in presentations during	aputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid		X				
Have there been suffi possibilities for discu		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
Was the meeting ager match your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					

Partners were well pr	epared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agree	eed for the meeting	++	+	+/-	-		
	January 2010 Madrid	-					
	January 2010 Mauriu						$\times$
After the meeting you	have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work	tasks	++	+	+/-	-		
	January 2010 Madrid			-			
	January 2010 Mauriu	X					
			_	_			
	as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	-		
	January 2010 Madrid			1	_		
	,						
	A			•			
General comments	I Really en	ioned	th	0 8	1011	ollow	nochn.
	of Party?	(love)	( a	t of	1 CM		certify
T 2010 M - 1 : 1	T TOOLS (,	. KO	ut A	nder	Sen (	80	very
January 2010 Madrid	derecha	YC	gu	d C	Dal	retec	1+
	derechi	_ , •	Mar	non	Villa	mill	7 ,
	Nas as	a(wo	uss	9	nela	ider,	ful.
		057.	A	Ret-	fy fl	af Ma	alts
1	ems in the evaluation form	new	0 (	ordo	Lust	L cal	20 .
We need	to put spo	201	ten 1	nine	ite !	neal	each
Suggestion for new it	ems in the evaluation form	is					
T 001037 111							
January 2010 Madrid							
		1					
	1	100	~	Α	(AP)	19	
	I I	100	1	Jov	Va	15	U
			_	/			

Have the contents of matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
	January 2010 Madrid	×					
Has the time for worl content during the mo	king on themes and eeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid						
Your impression of in presentations during	nputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid		×				
Have there been suffi		5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discu	ssions?	++	+	+/-			
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	×					
Was the meeting ager match your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid		X				

Partners were well or	repared and had carried	T 5	4	3	2	T 1	
out their tasks as agree		++	+	+/-	_	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid		***************************************				
			<u>×</u>				
After the meeting you	have a clear vision of	T 5	T 4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work		++	+	+/-	-		
	January 2010 Madrid	×					
Was the meeting seer	as a whole appropriate	5	14	3	2	11	n.a.
and productive?	as a whole appropriate	++	+	+/-	-		ıı.a.
	January 2010 Madrid						
		<u> </u>					
General comments				***************************************			
January 2010 Madrid				***************************************	***************************************		
,			<i>/</i> ~				
	An Hex	indu	2				
4.774.04.04.04.04.04.04.04.04.04.04.04.04.04		Province was such as a suc			******************		
Suggestion for new it	ems in the evaluation form	ns				*· *· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***************************************
January 2010 Madrid		······································	***************************************		······		
- minnig 2010 madild		$\cap$					
	An Ate	Comba.	$S_{0}$				
	C. Mr. /E	UN VVV	· CAS				

Have the contents of matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	January 2010 Madrid	×					
Has the time for work content during the mo	king on themes and eeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
Your impression of in presentations during	nputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
			т.				
Have there been suffi possibilities for discu		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
Was the meeting ager match your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					

Partners were well pr	epared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agre		++	+	+/-	-		
	January 2010 Madrid		X				
	have a clear vision of	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work	tasks	77	T	+/-			
	January 2010 Madrid		X				
				1			
Was the meeting seen and productive?	as a whole appropriate	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
and productive:		++	T	T/-	-		
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
General comments		TO THE STATE OF TH					
January 2010 Madrid							
·	N.	anie	Ceir ?	your			
						APPOINT NEW YORK HILLIAN & LOUIS MANAGEMENT AND A STATE OF THE STATE O	
Cycocotion for any it						Manufacture and the second	
auggesnon for new it	ems in the evaluation forr	ns					
January 2010 Madrid	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<i>j</i> *- <i>j</i>		***************************************	<del></del>		
	Hans	n (ein)	Van ie				

Have the contents of matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	January 2010 Madrid		Х				
Has the time for work content during the mo		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid		X				
Your impression of in presentations during	nputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid		X				
Have there been suffi	sions time and	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
possibilities for discu		++	+	+/-	-		II.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
Was the meeting age match your expectati	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					

Partners were well pr	epared and had carried	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
out their tasks as agree	*	++	+	+/-	-		11.61
	January 2010 Madrid		V				
			X				
After the meeting you	have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work		++	+	+/-	-		
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
*** 1		T =		T.	T.		
Was the meeting seer and productive?	as a whole appropriate	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
and productive.			'				
	January 2010 Madrid						
	January 2010 Madrid		X				
<u> </u>		•					
General comments	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	b t	los	5 6	rod	Lcte-	P
Lock of	2 parties, ma	74 ( 1		3.7 V			C
January 2010 Madrid							
	ems in the evaluation forn						
To evilue	te also the	Sa	uel.	Act.	· 17 X	ام د	.cd
January 2010 Madrid	tle hosti	ng.					
		9					

Have the contents of matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	January 2010 Madrid		V				
	Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	V					
Your impression of in presentations during	nputs from partners and the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	V					
Have there been suffi possibilities for discu		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	V					
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	V					
Was the meeting agermatch your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	V					

## INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-2 ES/09/LLP-LdV/TOI/149016

Partners were well prout their tasks as agree	epared and had carried sed for the meeting	5	4	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid						***************************************
After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks		5 ++	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid						
'		n k wasan asam asam asam asam a		L	<u> </u>		
Was the meeting seen and productive?	as a whole appropriate	5 ++	<b>4</b> +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	i/					
General comments		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
January 2010 Madrid							
						****	
Suggestion for new it	ems in the evaluation form	S					
January 2010 Madrid							

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
January 2010 Madrid		X				
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
January 2010 Madrid		X				
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
January 2010 Madrid		X				
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
January 2010 Madrid				X		
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
January 2010 Madrid		X				
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
January 2010 Madrid		X				

## INTERNAL EVALUATION POOLS-2 ES/09/LLP-LdV/TOI/149016

Partners were well prout their tasks as agree	epared and had carried ed for the meeting	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid	X					
After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
<u></u>	January 2010 Madrid	X					
·			-		<u> </u>	1	<u></u>
Was the meeting seen and productive?	as a whole appropriate	5 ++	4	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	January 2010 Madrid		X				
General comments							
January 2010 Madrid							
Suggestion for new it	ems in the evaluation forn	ns					
January 2010 Madrid						······································	