

Help your teacher improve by filling in this form:

5 ++ Agree Completely
 4 + Agree
 3 +/- Satisfactory
 2 - Disagree
 1 -- Disagree completely
 N.a. : Don't know

The equipment was sufficient. (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

I understood my teacher's instructions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

I could complete the tasks in the time provided.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

I have improved my understanding: Learned new words, phrases, expressions etc.?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

I have improved my writing skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

I have improved my oral skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

I liked to work this way with language.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

What I liked best about the lesson:

I really liked the possibility to learn something of concrete to use during the lessons. It was not only theory but a lot of practical aspects, that are the most important in my opinion.

What I think could have been different to improve the lesson:

Internet connection! ☺

What was new for me:

I already ~~was~~ knew these methods but there is always something new to learn! Above all the two last methods.

Help your teacher improve by filling in this form:

5 ++ Agree Completely
4 + Agree
3 +/- Satisfactory
2 - Disagree
1 -- Disagree completely
N.a. : Don't know

The equipment was sufficient. (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

I understood my teacher's instructions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

I could complete the tasks in the time provided.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

I have improved my understanding; Learned new words, phrases, expressions etc.?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

I have improved my writing skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

I have improved my oral skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
---------------------------------	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	------

	✓					
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

I liked to work this way with language.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
---	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	------

	✓					
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

What I liked best about the lesson:

I mostly liked the exercises based on MultiDict and WordLink. To my mind I'll use them while preparing the exercises for students.

What I think could have been different to improve the lesson:

It's hard to say if I could use simulation method with less motivated and lower level students.

What was new for me:

To use some tools (the real ones like screwdrivers or plugs) as well as lego games while teaching instructions.

Help your teacher improve by filling in this form:

5 ++ Agree Completely
4 + Agree
3 +/- Satisfactory
2 - Disagree
1 -- Disagree completely
N.a. : Don't know

The equipment was sufficient. (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

I understood my teacher's instructions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

I could complete the tasks in the time provided.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

I have improved my understanding; Learned new words, phrases, expressions etc.?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

I have improved my writing skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
						X

I have improved my oral skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

I liked to work this way with language.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

What I liked best about the lesson:

Difficult to say, there were so many new ideas to improve my teaching methods... maybe the Task-based learning approach because it's easily applicable. But also the CALL was really opening a "new world" to me!

What I think could have been different to improve the lesson:

Some more time reserved for explaining (or maybe: showing) how the "simulations" should be done.

What was new for me:

~~Showing~~ Showing videos and texts together with a dictionary that's online (and trying to provide this sort of things by my own!)

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
				X		

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

What I liked best about the course:

E-learning
Emotional approach
Task based approach

What I think could be improved:

Time to experiment things.

What was new for me:

I had some ideas of everything but
the possibility to create and share
teaching material is new to me.

I would also like to take a course in:

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

What I liked best about the course:

COMPUTER ASSISTED LANGUAGE TRAINING

What I think could be improved:

SIMULATIONS - TOO COMPLICATED

What was new for me:

COMPUTER ASSISTED LANGUAGE TRAINING

I would also like to take a course in:

/

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			✓			

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			✓			

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
						✓

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
						✓

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

What I liked best about the course:

What I think could be improved:

IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO HAVE MORE TIME DEDICATED TO PRACTICE WHAT HAS BEEN ~~TEACHING~~ TAUGHT

What was new for me:

I would also like to take a course in:

Help your teacher improve by filling in this form:

5 ++ Agree Completely
4 + Agree
3 +/- Satisfactory
2 - Disagree
1 -- Disagree completely
N.a. : Don't know

The equipment was sufficient. (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

I understood my teacher's instructions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

I could complete the tasks in the time provided.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

I have improved my understanding; Learned new words, phrases, expressions etc.?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

I have improved my writing skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

I have improved my oral skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

I liked to work this way with language.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

What I liked best about the lesson:

TASK TEACHING, NEW METHODS AND ACTIVE WAYS OF TEACHING.

What I think could have been different to improve the lesson:

MAKE READING TEXTS MORE ACTIVE, NEW METHODS OF TEACHING LITERATURE OR HISTORY (MAPS, PICTURES AND PLAYS)

What was new for me:

- TASK TEACHING.
- e-TANDEM
- new tricks of using internet.

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			✓			

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			✓			

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			✓			

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

What I liked best about the course:

*Certainly the mixed nationalities.
This enriches any course in my
opinion*

What I think could be improved:

*the technological aspects and the space.
Maybe more time to dedicate to
each of the methods*

What was new for me:

I would also like to take a course in:

Help your teacher improve by filling in this form:

5 ++ Agree Completely
4 + Agree
3 +/- Satisfactory
2 - Disagree
1 -- Disagree completely
N.a. : Don't know

The equipment was sufficient. (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✗					

I understood my teacher's instructions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✗				

I could complete the tasks in the time provided.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			✗			

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✗				

I have improved my understanding; Learned new words, phrases, expressions etc.?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			✓			

I have improved my writing skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
				✓		

I have improved my oral skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

I liked to work this way with language.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

What I liked best about the lesson:

The presentations were very good and the choice of material was original and interesting.

What I think could have been different to improve the lesson:

MORE TIME FOR THE EXPLANATORY SECTION!

What was new for me:

GREAT JOB!

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

What I liked best about the course:

Presentations and possibilities to share ideas in the network.

What I think could be improved:

(The presentations) durability → crosscultural

What was new for me:

Nothing! (kidding) Taskbased learning

I would also like to take a course in:

?

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

Help your teacher improve by filling in this form:

5 ++ Agree Completely
4 + Agree
3 +/- Satisfactory
2 - Disagree
1 -- Disagree completely
N.a. : Don't know

The equipment was sufficient. (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	+					

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	+					

I understood my teacher's instructions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		+				

I could complete the tasks in the time provided.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		+				

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	+					

I have improved my understanding; Learned new words, phrases, expressions etc.?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	+					

I have improved my writing skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
						n.a.

I have improved my oral skills.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
						n.a.

I liked to work this way with language.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	++					

What I liked best about the lesson:

New views about teacher's and students' personal qualities, needed to learn a foreign language.

What I think could have been different to improve the lesson:

What was new for me:

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
			X			

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

What I liked best about the course:

the relators were very different and all interesting. The level of the ^{activities} ~~method~~ was different A1 - A2 - B1, that's good and interesting.

What I think could be improved:

More time to see the video e-tandem skating lesson.

What was new for me:

e - tandem
simulation
task based learning post task part.

I would also like to take a course in:

New technologies in teaching foreign languages.

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	X					

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	8					

What I liked best about the course:

The teachers: professional, patient, nice, sociable, caring

What I think could be improved:

I think the course should be presented, people should present themselves; the teachers should have talked about where the project come from, how they work on it. Participants should have been able to know each other, at least names.

What was new for me:

ITC: was amazing what creative things I can possibly now do!

I would also like to take a course in:

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY - how to use it: simple and effective

Please keep us informed about future projects -

Thank you very very much 😊

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.

What I liked best about the course:

Phy & HOC

What I think could be improved:

- More time : 3/4 days
- more time practise the things we (the methods) we've learnt.

What was new for me:

e-tandem

I would also like to take a course in:

- teaching in a class with ~~pe~~ students of different levels.
- using computer

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
				✓		

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		✓				

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
	✓					

What I liked best about the course:

People → open minded, collaborative and nice
Methods → really useful, nice

I'm happier and more energetic than 2 days ago - wow

What I think could be improved:

We needed more time to deep in the methods, materials, tools ---

What was new for me:

New teaching methods: the task based m. simulations ---

I would also like to take a course in:

other languages → italian, french

Please assist us with improving the POOLS-M courses by indicating your level of agreement with the following items using the scale below:

5 ++ Excellent
4 + Very good
3 +/- Good / acceptable
2 - Weak
1 - Poor

The course venue was of sufficient quality (access to Internet, computers, etc.)	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
---	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	------

		X				
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

The course materials were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
--	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	------

	X					
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

The time for working on the course items and content has been sufficient.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
--	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	------

			X			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

There was sufficient time and possibilities for discussions.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
---	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	------

		X				
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

The presentations and demonstrations during the course were of good quality.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
---	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	------

		X				
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Your own ideas were acknowledged.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
-----------------------------------	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	------

		X				
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

After having completed the first part of the course, you felt ready for the next part, using the methods with your own students.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
--	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	------

			X			
--	--	--	---	--	--	--

The course was seen, as a whole, appropriate and productive.	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 --	n.a.
		X				

What I liked best about the course: *the second day*

What I think could be improved:

What was new for me:

I would also like to take a course in:

Partecipanti Corso Pools M (Formazione di Formatori)

Data: 3 novembre 2010

	NOME	COGNOME	ISTITUZIONE	FIRMA
1	STEFANIA	Rocco	UNIV. PER STRAN. PERUGIA	Stefania
2	SUSANNA	CIRRI	Ist. Comprensivo Statale "S. Orsini" (SI)	Susanna
3	HAYAT	DINAR	UNIVERSITA' di FIRENZE	Hayat
4	Roseanne	Perpetuini	ASSOCIAZIONE CULTURALE BABELE	Roseanne
5	AFERDITE	SHANI	Persepolis Group	Aferdite
6	LOREDANA	D'ELIA	Nova di University	Loredana D'Elia
7	GIULIANA	RAIMONDI	PERCORSI D'ITALIANO	Giuliana
8	FRANCESCA	PELLICCIA	Univ. Stranieri Perugi	Francesca
9	MARIE FRANCE	SALMOND	Ist. Luigi Einaudi	Marie France
10	FRANCESCA	CECCONI	COMUNE DI PISTOIA	Francesca
11	MIRIAM	WITZELER	IST. EINAUDI, PT	Miriam Witzeler
12	ANNA	ANDRETTA		Anna