Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
November 2011 in Vilnius	5					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	5					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	5					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	5					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	5					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	5					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius		4				

After the meeting yo	u have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work	tasks	++	+	+/-	-		
	November 2011 in	5					
	Vilnius	J					- 14
Was the meeting see	n as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	-		
100	November 2011 in	5					
the second	Vilnius	)					
	-2-						
November 2011 in V	ilnius						
	ilnius tems in the evaluation form	ns					v s. slav
Suggestion for new it	tems in the evaluation form	ns					v s alor
	tems in the evaluation form	ıs					
Suggestion for new it	tems in the evaluation form	ns .					
Suggestion for new it	tems in the evaluation form	ns					

Have the contents of the meeting been watched?		i -+	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
November 2011 i Vilnius	n ,	5					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient		5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 2011 Vilnius	in	5					
Your impression of inputs from partners presentations during the meeting		5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 2011 i Vilnius	in	5					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?		5	4 +	3 +/-	2 .	1	n.a.
November 2011 i Vilnius	in	5			***	•	
Were your own ideas listened to and tak account?	And the second s	5  - <del> </del>	4	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 i Vilnius	n	5					×
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flematch your expectations?		5 - <del>1</del>	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 2011 i Vilnius	n	5					
Partners were well prepared and had car out their tasks as agreed for the meeting		; -+	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 2011 i Vilnius	n		4				

After the meeting yo	ou have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work	c tasks	++	+	+/-	-	-	m.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	5					
Was the meeting see and productive?	n as a whole appropriate	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	5					
c 1							
General comments							
General comments  November 2011 in V	Vilnius Vilnius		*				
	Vilnius						
	Vilnius						
November 2011 in V	vilnius tems in the evaluation forn	18					A 31
November 2011 in V	tems in the evaluation forn	18					

Have the contents of the meeting be matched?	en well	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
November 20 Vilnius	11 in		X				
Has the time for working on themes content during the meeting been suff	and ficient	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 20 Vilnius	11 in	×					
Your impression of inputs from part presentations during the meeting	ners and	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 20 Vilnius	11 in		×				
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 20 Vilnius	11 in	X		24-			
Were your own ideas listened to and account?	taken into	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 20 Vilnius	11 in	X					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently match your expectations?	flexible to	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 20 Vilnius	11 in	×					
Partners were well prepared and had out their tasks as agreed for the meet		5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 201 Vilnius	1 in		X				

Arter the meeting you	have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work	tasks	++	+	+/-	-		11.4.
50 UNA 90706			45.4				
	November 2011 in		1/				
	Vilnius		X				
		100 E00					
W7 11 12							
was the meeting seen	as a whole appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	-	-	
	November 2011 in						
	Vilnius		X				
	v IIIIus		1/	J			
November 2011 in Vi	lnius						
November 2011 in Vi	lnius						
November 2011 in Vi	lnius						
November 2011 in Vi	lnius						
November 2011 in Vi	lnius				22)		
November 2011 in Vi	lnius						
	lnius ems in the evaluation forn	18			22		
		ns					
Suggestion for new ite	ems in the evaluation forn	ns					
	ems in the evaluation forn	ns					
Suggestion for new ite	ems in the evaluation forn	ns					
Suggestion for new ite	ems in the evaluation forn	ns					
Suggestion for new ite	ems in the evaluation forn	ns					

Have the contents of the mee matched?	ting been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
Novem Vilnius	ber 2011 in	X					
Has the time for working on to content during the meeting be	hemes and een sufficient	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
Novem Vilnius	aber 2011 in	X					
Your impression of inputs from presentations during the meet	m partners and ing	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Novem Vilnius	aber 2011 in	X					
Have there been sufficient tin possibilities for discussions?	ne and	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
Novem Vilnius	ber 2011 in	X					
Were your own ideas listened account?	to and taken into	5	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Novem Vilnius	ber 2011 in	χ					
Was the meeting agenda suffi match your expectations?	ciently flexible to	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
Novem Vilnius	ber 2011 in	X					
Partners were well prepared a out their tasks as agreed for the		5	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
Novem Vilnius	ber 2011 in	χ		2			

After the meeting you have a c	lear vision of	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks		++	+	+/-	-		
					- 1		
Novemb	er 2011 in	V					
Vilnius		$\Lambda$					
Was the meeting seen as a who	le appropriate	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?		++	+	+/-	-		
				5 Jan 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19			
A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF	er 2011 in	X					
Vilnius		1					1144
General comments	1 /		1 1				-
	Very.	sahist	actor	y mu	ec bir	20	
November 2011 in Vilnius						J 	
November 2011 in Vilnius							
		- Wash	-				
	*	7.5					
Suggestion for new items in the	evaluation forn	1S			- 1	KILLE IN ST	
November 2011 in Vilnius			1000000				-
To the second se							
1.25							

Have the contents of t matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
Has the time for work content during the me		5	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
Your impression of in presentations during t	puts from partners and he meeting	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
5 - x - 3	November 2011 in Vilnius	+				v) =	
Have there been suffice possibilities for discussional discussions and the sum of the su		5	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
Was the meeting agen match your expectation	da sufficiently flexible to ns?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1 -	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
Partners were well pre- out their tasks as agree		5 ++	+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	+					

After the meeting voi	have a clear vision of	5	4	3	2	11	no
your upcoming work		++	+	+/-	-		n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius  Vas the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?							
	Vilnius						
Was the meeting seer and productive?	as a whole appropriate	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
General comments							
November 2011 in V	ilnius			.1			1 7 7 7
	Everythe and per smoo	hing 1	The	well	orga	vuize	d
	Smoo	thly	,	- 77.00	37	•	
Suggestion for new it	ems in the evaluation for	rms					
November 2011 in V	Inius	200	110000000000000000000000000000000000000		-		-

Marmara Private Anatolian Vocational

Itiph School

Burd & Demurkany

Early

Have the contents of the meeting matched?	been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
November 2 Vilnius	2011 in	+					
Has the time for working on them content during the meeting been s	es and ufficient	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November Vilnius	2011 in	+				2.0	
Your impression of inputs from particles presentations during the meeting	artners and	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November : Vilnius	2011 in	+		A .			
Have there been sufficient time ar possibilities for discussions?	nd	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 2 Vilnius	2011 in	+					
Were your own ideas listened to a account?	nd taken into	5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2 Vilnius	2011 in	+					
Was the meeting agenda sufficient match your expectations?	tly flexible to	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2 Vilnius	2011 in	+					
Partners were well prepared and hout their tasks as agreed for the mo		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2 Vilnius	2011 in	+					

After the meeting you have a clear v	ision of 5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
your upcoming work tasks	++	+	+/-			
			7825			
			1,12 1-			
November 20	ll in	_				
Vilnius			1.8			
***						- Alle
Was the meeting seen as a whole app	V1156	4	3	2	1	n.a.
and productive?	++	+	+/-	-		
			o linkii i			125
N1 20	11.				*.	
November 20	ll in	-				
Vilnius	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \					4.5
General comments	** ***		,			- 38
General confinents Every the	ng was f	erfect	. The	onks		
	- ,					
November 2011 in Vilnius						
1.						
			719			
Conservation for the state of t		- Character			1000	
Suggestion for new items in the evaluation	lation forms	41				
November 2011 in Vilnius				- dia	- Marin	
			1 1 1			
	1		9			- 155
	///					
	Gu. al	la	101/			
				2		
	Mehme	1 Ac	KAN		140/-	
	Mehme	1 Ac	KAN		Voyle	
ĵ.	Mehmer	1 Ac	Mar	fri		
ĵ.	Mehmer	1 Ac	Mar	fri		
P	Mehme	of Mon	MAN Mara h Sa	fri		

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	No answer
November 2011 in Vilnius	V					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	V					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	V				×	
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	V					
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	V					
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	0 5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	V					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	V					

After the meeti your upcoming	ng you have a clear vision of work tasks	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	V					

Was the meeting so and productive?	een as a whole appropriate	5++	4	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	V					

General commen						
Sad f	nat	We	are	neal	the	end of
November 2011						project
Trovenioe 2011	ir viiinus					V
			*			

Suggestion for new items in th	e evaluation forms
N 1 2011 ' V''	
November 2011 in Vilnius	

Have the contents of matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X					
Has the time for work content during the me	ing on themes and eting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting		5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?  November 2011 in		5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Were your own ideas account?	Vilnius  listened to and taken into	5	4	3	2	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in	++.	+	+/-	-		
L	Vilnius	×					
Was the meeting agen match your expectatio	da sufficiently flexible to ns?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	×					
Partners were well pre out their tasks as agree		5	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	×					

Was the meeting se and productive?	en as a whole appropriate	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X					

November 2011 in Vilnius

It's been a pleasure to work with

you! I've learned a lot and I

really hope to have to the possibility
to cooperate again in the future.

Suggestion for new items in t	e evaluation forms
November 2011 in Vilnius	

Have the contents of matched?	the meeting been well	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
	November 2011 in Vilnius	*					
Has the time for work content during the me	king on themes and eeting been sufficient	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X					
Your impression of ir presentations during t	Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X					
	Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?		4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X	4. **				
Were your own ideas account?	listened to and taken into	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X					
Was the meeting ager match your expectation	nda sufficiently flexible to ons?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	Х					
Partners were well pro out their tasks as agre	epared and had carried ed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	X					

	November 2011 in Vilnius	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
		X					
Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive?		5	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
		×					
General comments							
November 2011 in Vil	nius Thank !	jou al	a for	Such	a pt	sitive	
	co-operati	en.					
	And Specia	al tho	inks 4	no Ke	nt ar	nd Lei	ne!
	And to C	lle for	bein	8 8	patien	ut!	
Suggestion for new ite	ms in the evaluation form	ns					
November 2011 in Vil	nius	15 SWID		-			

Have the contents of the meeting been well matched?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	No answer
November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient	5 ++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting	5	4+	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions?  November 2011 in Vilnius	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2	1	n.a.
Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	+					1. 11.
Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations?	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	+					
Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	+					

After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks	5 ++	4 +	3 +/-	2 -	1 ,	n.a.
November 2011 in Vilnius	+					

and productive?	een as a whole appropriate	5++	4+	3 +/-	2 -	1	n.a.
	November 2011 in Vilnius	1-4					

General comments	
November 2011 in Vilnius	The in put from all partners was year and, we also appreciated the feed break from our external evaluators Angelica and Gareth, which were presented the 2did day.

Suggestion for new items in	the evaluation forms	
November 2011 in Vilnius		
g trager games and		