| Have the contents of matched? | the meeting been well | 5 ++ i/ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|--|---------------|-----|-----------|-----|---|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | 310 310-5 | | | |
| Has the time for work | king on themes and eeting been sufficient | 5 ++ V | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| No. | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Your impression of it presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| , | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting age match your expectation | nda sufficiently flexible to ons? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | 1 98 | | | |
| Гъ | | | | 1 | T | | |
| Partners were well prout their tasks as agree | epared and had carried eed for the meeting | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| After the meeting you your upcoming work | a have a clear vision of tasks | 5 | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |

| Was the meeting seen as a | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------|-----|-----|------------|------|--|
| and productive? | | ++ / | + | +/- | /- | | |
| | | V | | | | | |
| Janu | ary 2012 Brussels | | | | - | | |
| | ary 2012 Drubberb | | | | | | |
| General comments | | | | | 77) 270 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 70 | | -0 | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new items in | the evaluation forn | ns | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | 1 | | * 7 | | | | |
| January 2012 Drussels | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Have the contents of matched? | the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|--|------------|--------------|-------|------|---|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | - | | |
| | balladiy 2012 Diassels | V | | | | | |
| Has the time for work content during the m | king on themes and eeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | V | | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | I . | | | |
| 10/900 | | | | | | | |
| Have there been suff | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| possibilities for discu | ++ | + | +/- | - | | | |
| | T | | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | L V | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Were your own ideas | Were your own ideas listened to and taken into | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | T=== |
| account? | instelled to and taken into | 5 ++ | | +/- | - | 1 | n.a. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | \ <u></u> | 1 | | - | | |
| | Junuary 2012 Diussels | V | | | | | |
| Was the meeting age | nda sufficiently flexible to | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| match your expectation | | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | 17 | | | - | | |
| | January 2012 D1035015 | V | <u></u> | | | | |
| Partners were well pr | epared and had carried | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| out their tasks as agre | _ | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | \/ | | - | + | | |
| | January 2012 Diussels | <u> </u> | | | 50.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | have a clear vision of | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| your upcoming work | tasks | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | r* | | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | \ <u>/</u> | | | | - | - |
| | 2012 21 435015 | V | l | | | | |

| Was the meeting good or a whole amount it | T | 1 | 12 | | 1 | 1 | | |
|--|---------|------------|--------|----------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | L | n.a. | | |
| and productive? | ++ | + | +/- | ~ - | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels V | | | | | | | | |
| O 1 AH UBO A O ABLU O | 100t a | 2222 | 1+ | 10/ 10 | | in a superior and a s | | |
| General comments 197 was a wright | 100 | | c de | to El | dutes | -ore | | |
| Energithing was really bigg | C. Co | ne lu | S ale | 11/12/201 | a wel | Jeon | | |
| Swage 12 | cie ico | 00.3 | Like ! | a corage | (()) () | , | | |
| General comments of was a very go about Tools project. To know Everything was really hice. I January 2012 Brussels Wice people, | mei | - 600 | char - | / · · · · | | | | |
| | | | (| J | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | . 11-11- | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new items in the evaluation form | S | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | V 400 alax | | | - | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Have the contents of matched? | the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|---|----------|-----|-------|-------|----------------|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | 55503 | | |
| Has the time for work content during the me | king on themes and eeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | _ | |
| *** | | | | 1 - | | Too | |
| were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | / | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ager match your expectation | nda sufficiently flexible to ons? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | / | | | | |
| | | | Las | Trans | T | Take 1800 Take | |
| Partners were well pr out their tasks as agre | epared and had carried ed for the meeting | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| After the meeting you your upcoming work | ı have a clear vision of tasks | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | J- 2010 D 1 | | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | l | | | |

| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|---|------|----------|-------|-----|---|------|
| January 2012 Brussels | | \ | | | | |

| General comments | |
|-----------------------|--|
| January 2012 Brussels | The Took 4 chil work shop had been very informative, active and productive. All unclear things became easy to use. |

| Suggestion for new items in | the evaluation f | orms . | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--------|------|
| January 2012 Brussels | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Have the contents of matched? | the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|---|------|-----|-------|-----|-------|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| Has the time for work | king on themes and eeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | s listened to and taken into | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ager match your expectation | nda sufficiently flexible to ons? | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| Partners were well prout their tasks as agree | repared and had carried eed for the meeting | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | 200 E | |
| | | | | | | | |
| After the meeting you your upcoming work | a have a clear vision of tasks | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Drussels | | | 1 | | | |

| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive? | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|---|------|----|-------|---|---|------|
| January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |

| General comments | |
|-----------------------|---|
| January 2012 Brussels | Very productive meeting and all partners participated to the full. Bugs in software reported (some already corrected) and lots of buggestions made for improvements. |

| Suggestion for new items i | n the evalu | ation forn | ns | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|----|--|--|--|
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |

| 8 | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|-----------|-------------------|---|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | / | | | | | |
| | | - - 1 0 - 10 | 100H | - A-E- | 846, 10 1887 U.S. | | ale. |
| Have there been suffi possibilities for discu | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | 1 | | | | _ | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | - | | | | |
| Was the meeting ager match your expectation | nda sufficiently flexible to ons? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | -200 -200 | | | |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | Tanada j 2012 Brassons | V | | | | 1 | |

| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|---|------|-----|-------|-----|---|------|
| January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |

| General comments | |
|-----------------------|--|
| January 2012 Brussels | Everything went very well because of the meticalous planning, as a participant I am extremely grateful to those who undertook the planning and paid such attention to every body's needs |

| Suggestion for new items i | n the evaluation forms | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| January 2012 Brussels | | |

| January 2012 Brussels | |
|---|------|
| Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient 5 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels | 7.12 |
| Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting 5 4 3 2 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels V+ | |
| | |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? 5 4 3 2 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels | |
| | |
| Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account? 4 3 2 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels | |
| Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to 5 4 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels V | |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting 5 4 3 2 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels / + + | |
| | |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks 5 4 3 2 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels | - |

| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|---|------|-----|-------|-----|---|-------|
| January 2012 Brussels | UFA | | | | | 50000 |

| General comments J+ | was a very productive and |
|-----------------------|---|
| successful | was a very productive and needing, will por former and managed. |
| January 2012 Brussels | the arrangement for accome- |
| | dehou, planning, midels, and concurrence hou - |
| | thank you, kent! |
| | Haranty to everyone - one of the strongest partnerships. |

| Suggestion for new items in | the evaluation forms |
|-----------------------------|--|
| January 2012 Brussels | Could you think GREEN and point time on 1 page? |

| Have the contents of the meeting been well matched? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | No answer |
|---|--|------|-------------|-------|-----|----|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| | Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient | | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | K | | | | | |
| Your impression of it presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | × | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Have there been suffi possibilities for discu | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| Was the meeting age match your expectation | and the second s | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| L | January 2012 Brussels | | 5 | | | | |
| | | X | | | | | |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | - | | | | - |
| | balladi y 2012 Diussels | 1.60 | L | ļ. | | 1. | |

| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate | | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|---|---------------------------|--------|-------|-----|------------|-------|------|
| and productive? | | 1+ | + | +/- | = % | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| General comments | | 10 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | _ | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussel | S | | | | | | |
| | 0 | + 4 | 1 | | | | |
| | Excelor | II mee | ling. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| C | * .1 1 | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new it | ems in the evaluation for | ms | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussel | S | | | | | nin a | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Have the contents of the meeting been well matched? | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | + | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Have there been suffi possibilities for discu | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| | | | | T. | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ager match your expectation | nda sufficiently flexible to ons? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | × | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |

| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|--|-------------|---|-----|---|--------------|------|
| and productive? | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| General comments | - | - | - | | | |
| General comments | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | 100 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new items in the evaluation for | orms | | | | - 18 - 18 | |
| | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Surface 2012 Blussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient | Have the contents of matched? | the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|-------------------------------|--|----------|---------------------|---------|-----|-----|--------------|
| Sanuary 2012 Brussels Sanu | | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting January 2012 Brussels | | | 0.77 | 150 | 1 00000 | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? 5 | | January 2012 Brussels | / | | | | | |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? January 2012 Brussels | | | 1000 | | | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels | | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account? January 2012 Brussels January 2012 Brusse | | | 30.00 | | | | 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels | | January 2012 Brussels | / | and the contract of | | | | |
| Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations? January 2012 Brussels | | listened to and taken into | | | | | 1 | n.a. |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks ++ + + + + | | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting January 2012 Brussels After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks 5 | | | P . | 1 | | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| Out their tasks as agreed for the meeting ++ + + +/ January 2012 Brussels January 2012 Bru | | January 2012 Brussels | / | | | | 250 | |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks After the meeting you have a clear vision of ++ + + + + + | | The same of the sa | | | 1000 | | 1 | n.a. |
| your upcoming work tasks ++ + +/ | | January 2012 Brussels | / | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | | January 2012 Brussels | 1 | | | | | |

| Was the meeting seen as a wand productive? | hole appropriate | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|--|---------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| Janua | ry 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| General comments This | was a very | mell Lue | thong | ht on | t set | of med | tings |
| January 2012 Brussels | prover | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new items in | the evaluation form | S | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | W 1 A | | | | | | |
| | 70 | | | | | | |

| Have the contents of matched? | the meeting been well | 5 ++ × | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|---|--------------|----------------|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Has the time for work | king on themes and eeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + × | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ × | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Have there been suffi possibilities for discu | | 5 ++ | 4 + × | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 ++ × | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting age match your expectation | nda sufficiently flexible to ons? | 5 ++ × | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Partners were well prout their tasks as agree | repared and had carried eed for the meeting | 5 ++ × | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| After the meeting you your upcoming work | have a clear vision of tasks | 5 ++ × | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| Levinor a constraint of the co | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |

| Was the meeting seen and productive? | as a whole appropriate | 5 | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|---|----------------------------|----|-----|----------|-------|--|-------------|
| | | X | | 11/402 | | | |
| 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| General comments | | | | á | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussel | S | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| _ | - A | | | - | ### T | - 12 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 | |
| Suggestion for new it | ems in the evaluation form | 1S | | | ji | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussel | s | | | | 10714 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Have the contents of matched? | the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|---|------|-----|-------|-----|---|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| Has the time for work content during the me | king on themes and eeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | χ | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | X | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Have there been suffi possibilities for discu | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | X | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | Х | | | | |
| Was the meeting ager match your expectation | nda sufficiently flexible to ons? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| Partners were well prout their tasks as agree | epared and had carried ed for the meeting | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | X |
| After the meeting you your upcoming work | n have a clear vision of tasks | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |

| Was the meeting see and productive? | Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate and productive? | | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------|-------|-----|---|------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | X | | | | | |
| General comments | | | P. 61 | | | | |

| General comments | 5 stars | Orgnization from an |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| January 2012 Brussels | | experienced coordinator! Thanks: |

| 11-10 | 1000 | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Suggestion for new items in | the evaluation forms | |
| Supposition for new items in | ine evaluation forms | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Innuary 2012 Dangerla | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Have the contents of matched? | the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4 | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|--|------|-----|------------|-----|---|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | / | | | | | |
| Has the time for work content during the me | king on themes and eeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | / | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Have there been suffi possibilities for discu | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | ~ | | V - 110 VC | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | ~ | | | | | |
| Was the meeting ager match your expectation | nda sufficiently flexible to ons? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Partners were well proout their tasks as agre | epared and had carried eed for the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| After the meeting you your upcoming work | have a clear vision of tasks | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |

| Was the meeting seen as a wand productive? | nole appropriate | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
|--|--------------------|------|------|-------|-----|---|----------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Januar | y 2012 Brussels | 1 | | | | | |
| General comments | Excellent | 056 | gani | sa K | ou! | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | e ett agent u | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | i* | | 11 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Suggestion for new items in t | he evaluation form | ıs | NI. | 1000 | · | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | _ | | | | | <u> </u> |
| Junuary 2012 Diussois | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| January 2012 Brussels Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient 5 |
|--|
| Content during the meeting been sufficient |
| Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting 5 4 3 2 1 n.a. |
| presentations during the meeting ++ + +/ |
| January 2012 Brussels |
| |
| |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
| January 2012 Brussels |
| Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account? 4 3 2 1 n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels |
| |
| Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations? |
| January 2012 Brussels |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting $5 + + + + + + + - + - + - + - + - + $ |
| January 2012 Brussels |
| |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks 5 4 3 2 1 n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels |

| Was the meeting seen | as a whole appropriate | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---|-----|-------------|------|----------|
| and productive? | | ++ | + | +/- | = | | |
| | | $\mid \rangle$ | | | | | |
| | January 2012 Druggala | / 0 | | | | 1 | |
| Į | January 2012 Brussels | | | | The same of | | <u> </u> |
| General comments | | | | | | | |
| General comments | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussel | s | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1838 | |
| | | | | | | 4 | |
| Suggestion for new ite | ems in the evaluation form | ıs | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| T 0010 D 1 | | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | S | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| l. | | | | | | | |

| January 2012 Brussels | Have the contents of matched? | the meeting been well | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | No answer |
|--|--|-----------------------|------------|------|---|---------|---|--------------|
| Has the time for working on themes and content during the meeting been sufficient January 2012 Brussels | materiou. | | | ' | '/- | - | | allswei |
| Sanuary 2012 Brussels Sanu | | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Your impression of inputs from partners and presentations during the meeting | | | | 1 | | | 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? State of the possibilities for discussions State of the possibilities for discussions State of the possibilities for discussions State of the possibili | | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? January 2012 Brussels | | | . 88 | 1000 | 2001 | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels Head of the meeting January 2012 Brussels Januar | | January 2012 Brussels | | | | 10×1- | | |
| January 2012 Brussels Head of the meeting January 2012 Brussels Januar | | | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas listened to and taken into account? January 2012 Brussels | Months Service Manager Manager Service Manager Service Manager Service Manager | | .5 | - 22 | 65.542 | 1,545,6 | 1 | n.a. |
| January 2012 Brussels | | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to 5 | | | 55,06 | - 50 | 1 3754 | 0.72 | 1 | n.a. |
| Danuary 2012 Brussels Head of the meeting Head of the meetin | | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting January 2012 Brussels After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks 4 3 2 1 n.a. | | | | 5.0 | | | 1 | n.a. |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks as agreed for the meeting ++ + + + + | | January 2012 Brussels | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks 5 4 3 2 1 n.a | | | 1000 E-100 | | | | 1 | n.a. |
| your upcoming work tasks ++ + + | | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | 12 |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | 3003 | 100 | 0.000 | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| variating 2012 Diaboth | | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |

| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|---|-----|---|-----|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| and productive? | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| General comments | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| I. 2012 P. 1 | : | | | 1-1029-011-0 | W | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 1,0 | | | *** | | |
| Suggestion for new items in the evaluation form | ns | | | NA P | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | 27.77 | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Have the contents of matched? | Have the contents of the meeting been well matched? | | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|---|---|---------|-----|----------|----------------|---|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | - | |
| | January 2012 Drussels | V | | | | | |
| Has the time for work content during the mo | king on themes and eeting been sufficient | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | 100 | 1 | | |
| | | | - I | | | | 1 |
| Have there been suffi | icient time and | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
| possibilities for discu | assions? | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | 1 | | | - | | |
| | turidary 2012 Brassols | | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Descents | / | 1 | | - | | |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | -51 | | | | |
| Was the meeting ager match your expectation | nda sufficiently flexible to ons? | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | 1/ | - | | | | |
| | Julianty 2012 Diassels | LV_ | 1 | V 2 | | | |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | V | | | | | |
| | TOTAL DIAGOOM | | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks | | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | ,* | / | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|---|----|---|-----|---|---|------|
| and productive? | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| | | 5 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | 1/ | | | | | |

| General comments | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|-----|
| January 2012 Brussels | Exiting new good partners | project | wth |

| Suggestion for new items in | the evaluation forms | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|
| January 2012 Brussels | | |
| | | |

| 8 | | 5 ++ × | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | No answer |
|--|--|--------------|-------------|-------|-----|---------|--------------|
| | January 2012 Brussels | _ | | | | | |
| Has the time for work content during the me | king on themes and eeting been sufficient | 5 ++ × | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Your impression of in presentations during | nputs from partners and the meeting | 5 ++ | 4 + X | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| Later Monte of the Control of the Co | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Have there been sufficient time and possibilities for discussions? | | 4 + X | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| | | 8.26 | | | | | |
| Were your own ideas account? | listened to and taken into | 5 ++ | 4 + | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| Was the meeting agenda sufficiently flexible to match your expectations? | | 5 ++ | 4+ | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |
| D | | | 1. | | | i juner | |
| Partners were well prepared and had carried out their tasks as agreed for the meeting | | 5 ++ | 4 + × | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | 95 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| After the meeting you have a clear vision of your upcoming work tasks | | 5 +++ | 4 + × | 3 +/- | 2 - | 1 | n.a. |
| | January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |

| Was the meeting seen as a whole appropriate | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | n.a. |
|---|----|---|-----|---|---|------|
| and productive? | ++ | + | +/- | - | | |
| • | | | | | | X |
| | | 9 | | | | |
| January 2012 Brussels | | | | | | |

| General comments | |
|-----------------------|---|
| January 2012 Brussels | I only participated in a part of the meeting - so my evaluation only covers that. |

| Suggestion for new items in | the evaluation forms |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| January 2012 Brussels | |